

2026 Central Oregon Health Quality Alliance Scoring Rubric

CRITERIA (Scored 0-4)	4-HIGH	2-MEDIUM	0-LOW	Scoring (and Comments)
COHQA PRIORITIES (refer to funding priorities)	<p>Provider-Led Health Care Innovation: Guiding Questions-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Has the project been done before and/or how does it differ from day-to-day operations? ● How does the project strengthen care delivery, clinical practices, or patient experience? ● Are there clear benefits for patients experiencing the greatest barriers to health and wellbeing? ● Were patients, caregivers, or frontline staff feedback included in the project design? ● Are there contributions towards the Quadruple Aim: Improved quality, reduced cost, improved patient experience, and improved provider and staff wellbeing. <p>(0 = Not Innovative, 4 = Very Innovative, N/A if Not Applicable)</p>			
	<p>Community-Led Health Care Innovation: Guiding Questions-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Who is involved in the project? Are they consumers of health care? ● Does the project list approaches that elevate community voice, honor lived experience, and address inequities in access, trust, and care. ● Is there an equitable partnership between the consumers and providers or clinics, meaning is there shared power, decision-making, and accountability in ways that are transparent, fair, and aligned with their respective capacities and contributions? ● Is there a clear community problem identified? ● Has an innovative, never been done before, solution been proposed to address the identified problem? <p>(0 = Not Innovative, 4 = Very Innovative, N/A if Not Applicable)</p>			

2026 Central Oregon Health Quality Alliance Scoring Rubric

	<p>Clinical Integration and Multi-Sector Coordination: Guiding Questions-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● How does the project strengthen collaboration across healthcare? ● Does the project close gaps for patients experiencing the greatest barriers to health and wellbeing? ● Does the project support coordination that reduces fragmentation and improves continuity of care? ● Does the project have reliable data, resources, and shared decision-making in ways that support equitable outcomes? ● Is there an equitable partnership between multi-sector partners, meaning is there shared power, decision-making, and accountability in ways that are transparent, fair, and aligned with their respective capacities and contributions? <p>(0 = No Contribution, 4 = Strong Contribution, N/A if Not Applicable)</p>	
	<p>Health Equity: Guiding Questions-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● To what extent does this project address improved care for specific groups placed at increased health risk due to socioeconomic status, race or ethnicity, geographic location, age, sexual orientation or gender identity, chronic disease, disability, mental health, or other characteristics historically linked to discrimination or exclusion? ● Does the project serve patients in areas that COHQA has not traditionally funded such as Crook, Lake, Wheeler, Sherman, Skamania, and Klickitat counties? ● How were these patients identified (data, community input, lived experience)? ● If proposing to work with tribes, do you have a relationship already established? Have you consulted with them directly about this proposal? In other words, how do you know they are not already implementing your idea or project? ● If proposing to use Tribal Based Practices, have you sought their permission? If so, please include a letter of commitment. <p>(0 = Does not advance health equity, 4 = Advances health equity, N/A if Not Applicable)</p>	

2026 Central Oregon Health Quality Alliance Scoring Rubric

CRITERIA (Scored 0-4)	4-HIGH	2-MEDIUM	0-LOW	Scoring (and Comments)
SHARED LEARNINGS	Other providers, clinics or sectors of the health care community WILL be able to learn from or replicate this project. The potential for the impact of the shared learnings is high.	Other providers, clinics or sectors of the health care community MAY be able to learn from or replicate this project. There is potential for impact of the shared learnings.	There will be little to no learnings that other providers, clinics or sectors of the health care community can gain from this project.	
COMMUNITY HEALTH AND/OR CARE DELIVERY IMPACT	The project will have a significant community health impact and/or significant impact on care delivery. It is evident that the return on investment would be high.	Potential for some impact on community health and/or care delivery, but it's not evident the overall impact will be that significant in terms of people affected or lasting change achieved.	Project is unlikely to have any significant impact. There would be no or very little return on investment.	
PROJECT GOALS AND PLAN	The proposal has clear goals and presents a solid strategy for achieving them. Necessary infrastructure/organizational capacity is in place to successfully complete the project.	One or all parts need improving upon: goals, strategy to achieve them, and the capacity to do so.	Proposal has ill-defined goals and/or does not include realistic or effective strategies to achieve intended goals.	

2026 Central Oregon Health Quality Alliance Scoring Rubric

MEASURING RESULTS	Plans on how data will be collected to measure results are clear and realistic.	Plans on how data will be collected to measure results aren't as clear and/or realistic as they could be.	There is no plan or a very poor plan to measure the results of the project.	
BUDGET	Project is cost effective and costs linked directly to activities and outcomes.	Project is not very cost effective and/or related to activities and outcomes.	Project is not cost effective.	
FUNDING OPINION	Regardless of any of your other scores, you strongly believe this project should be a priority to fund.	You would feel fine if this project was funded, however you're not sure it should be of highest priority.	You do not support funding this project for any amount.	
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS/ QUESTIONS/ CONCERNS				