

2026 Central Oregon Health Information Exchange Scoring Rubric

CRITERIA (Scored 0-4)	4-HIGH	2-MEDIUM	0-LOW	Scoring (and Comments)
COHIE PRIORITIES (refer to funding priorities)	<p>Project Category: Guiding Questions-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Proposal clearly aligns with COHIE’s mission to provide the framework to enable the comprehensive management of health information and its secure exchange between consumers, providers, government, quality entities and insurers. ● Proposal falls within one or more of COHIE’s funding priority areas; technical assistance, interoperability, data security and informatics policy development, or support for HIE or CIE efforts. <p>(0 = Not Innovative, 4 = Very Innovative, N/A if Not Applicable)</p>			
	<p>Provider or Clinic-Led Project: Guiding Questions-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The provider and/or clinic has clearly identified a problem they are trying to address. ● The project strengthens care delivery, clinical practices, or patient experience. ● There are clear benefits for patients experiencing the greatest barriers to health and wellbeing. ● Providers, patients, caregivers, or frontline staff were included the project design. ● There are clear contributions towards the Quadruple Aim: Improved quality, reduced cost, improved patient experience, and improved provider and staff wellbeing. <p>(0 = Not Innovative, 4 = Very Innovative, N/A if Not Applicable)</p>			
	<p>Community-Led Project: Guiding Questions-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Who is involved in the project? Are they consumers of health care? ● Does the project list approaches that elevate community voice, honor lived experience, and address inequities in access, trust, and care. 			

2026 Central Oregon Health Information Exchange Scoring Rubric

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● There appears to be an equitable partnership between the consumers and providers or clinics, meaning is there shared power, decision-making, and accountability in ways that are transparent, fair, and aligned with their respective capacities and contributions. ● There is a clear community problem identified. <p>(0 = Not Innovative, 4 = Very Innovative, N/A if Not Applicable)</p>	
	<p>Clinical Integration and Multi-Sector Coordination: Guiding Questions-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The project strengthens collaboration across healthcare. ● The project closes gaps in provider and clinic needs. ● The project supports coordination that reduces fragmentation and improves continuity of care for patients. ● The project has or planning to have reliable data, resources, and shared decision-making in ways that support equitable outcomes. ● There is an equitable partnership between multi-sector partners, meaning is there shared power, decision-making, and accountability in ways that are transparent, fair, and aligned with their respective capacities and contributions. <p>(0 = No Contribution, 4 = Strong Contribution, N/A if Not Applicable)</p>	
	<p>Health Equity: Guiding Questions-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● To what extent does this project address improved care for specific groups placed at increased health risk due to socioeconomic status, race or ethnicity, geographic location, age, sexual orientation or gender identity, chronic disease, disability, mental health, or other characteristics historically linked to discrimination or exclusion? ● Does the project serve patients in Crook, Deschutes, Jefferson and Northern Klamath? ● How was the project identified (provider and/or clinic staff feedback, assessment, data, community input, lived experience)? ● If proposing to work with tribes, do you have a relationship already established? Have you consulted with them directly about this proposal? In other words, how do you know they are not already implementing your idea or project? 	

2026 Central Oregon Health Information Exchange Scoring Rubric

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If proposing to use Tribal Based Practices, have you sought their permission? If so, please include a letter of commitment. <p>(0 = Does not advance health equity, 4 = Advances health equity, N/A if Not Applicable)</p>			
CRITERIA (Scored 0-4)	4-HIGH	2-MEDIUM	0-LOW	Scoring (and Comments)
SHARED LEARNINGS	Other providers, clinics or sectors of the health care community WILL be able to learn from or replicate this project. The potential for the impact of the shared learnings is high.	Other providers, clinics or sectors of the health care community MAY be able to learn from or replicate this project. There is potential for impact of the shared learnings.	There will be little to no learnings that other providers, clinics or sectors of the health care community can gain from this project.	
COMMUNITY HEALTH AND/OR CARE DELIVERY IMPACT	The project will have a significant community health impact and/or significant impact on care delivery. It is evident that the return on investment would be high.	Potential for some impact on community health and/or care delivery, but it's not evident the overall impact will be that significant in terms of people affected or lasting change achieved.	Project is unlikely to have any significant impact. There would be no or very little return on investment.	

2026 Central Oregon Health Information Exchange Scoring Rubric

<p>PROJECT GOALS AND PLAN</p>	<p>The proposal has clear goals and presents a solid strategy for achieving them. Necessary infrastructure/organizational capacity is in place to successfully complete the project.</p>	<p>One or all parts need improving upon: goals, strategy to achieve them, and the capacity to do so.</p>	<p>Proposal has ill-defined goals and/or does not include realistic or effective strategies to achieve intended goals.</p>	
<p>MEASURING RESULTS</p>	<p>Plans on how data will be collected to measure results are clear and realistic.</p>	<p>Plans on how data will be collected to measure results aren't as clear and/or realistic as they could be.</p>	<p>There is no plan or a very poor plan to measure the results of the project.</p>	
<p>BUDGET</p>	<p>Project is cost effective and costs linked directly to activities and outcomes.</p>	<p>Project is not very cost effective and/or related to activities and outcomes.</p>	<p>Project is not cost effective.</p>	
<p>FUNDING OPINION</p>	<p>Regardless of any of your other scores, you strongly believe this project should be a priority to fund.</p>	<p>You would feel fine if this project was funded, however you're not sure it should be of highest priority.</p>	<p>You do not support funding this project for any amount.</p>	

2026 Central Oregon Health Information Exchange Scoring Rubric

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS/ QUESTIONS/ CONCERNS	
---	--